5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

28

Richard C. Moreno (SBN 190869)
rmoreno@murchisonlaw.com
Anastasia K. Mazzella (SBN 245201)
amazzella@murchisonlaw.com
MURCHISON & CUMMING, LLP
801 South Grand Avenue, 9th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90017-4613
Telephone: (213) 623-7400
Telephone: (213) 623-7400 Facsimile: (213) 623-6336

Attorneys for Defendant, FREIGHTLINER CUSTOM CHASSIS CORPORATION, sued and served herein as DOE 1



# UNITED STATE DISTRICT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

'08 CV 0879

ROLAND NATOLI and BARBARA NATOLI individuals,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

TIFFIN MOTOR HOMES, INC., and DOES 1-100, inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE OF NOTICE TO ADVERSE PARTY AND TO STATE SUPERIOR NOTICE COURT **OF** REMOVAL FEDERAL COURT

[28 U.S.C. § 1441(a) and (b)] (Diversity)

[Filed Concurrently With Notice of Joinder and Notice of Removal]

### I, ANASTASIA K. MAZZELLA, do hereby declare:

I am an attorney at law duly licensed to practice before all the courts of the 1. State of California and am admitted to practice before the United States District Court for the Southern District of California. I am an associate with the law firm of Murchison & Cumming, LLP, attorneys of record for defendant FREIGHTLINER CUSTOM CHASSIS CORPORATION in this action. My business address is 801 South Grand Avenue, 9th

Floor, Los Angeles, California, where the mailing and service described below took place. I have personal knowledge of the following facts and if called as a witness, would testify competently thereto.

- 2. On May 16, 2008, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d), my office filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court, County of San Diego, a Notice to Superior Court Clerk of Filing Removal of Action, together with a copy of the Notice of Removal of Civil Action to United States District Court and Notice of Joinder. A true and correct copy of the Notice to Superior Court Clerk of Filing Removal of Action is attached to this Certificate.
- 3. On May 16, 2008, my office deposited in the U.S. Mail at Los Angeles, California, with postage fully prepaid, a copy of the Notice to Adverse Party of Removal to the United States District Court and Notice of Joinder. The Notice to Adverse Party was dated May 16, 2008. A true and correct copy of the Notice to Adverse Party is attached to this Certificate.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.

Executed May 16, 2008, at Los Angeles, California.

Anastasia K. Mazzella, Declarant

J:\RCM\28430\MTN\NOT.REMOVAL.CERT.SERVICE

Richard C. Moreno (SBN 190869) Anastasia K. Mazzella (SBN 245201) MURCHISON & CUMMING, LLP 801 South Grand Avenue, 9th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017-4613 Telephone: (213) 623-7400 Facsimile: (213) 623-6336 E-Mail: rmoreno@murchisonlaw.com amazzella@murchisonlaw.com Attorneys for Defendant, FREIGHTLINER CUSTOM CHASSIS CORPORATION, sued and served herein as DOE 1 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 **COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO** 10 11 CASE NO. 37-2007-00082477-CU-MC-ROLAND NATOLI and BARBARA NATOLI individuals. CTL 13 NOTICE TO SUPERIOR COURT CLERK Plaintiffs, OF FILING OF REMOVAL OF ACTION 14 VS. 15 [28 U.S.C. § 1441(a)] TIFFIN MOTOR HOMES, INC., and Assigned to Hon. William R. Nevitt, Jr., 16 DOES 1-100, inclusive, Dept. C-64 17 Defendants. Action Filed: November 29, 2007 Trial Date: None Set 18 19 TO THE CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO: 20 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on May 16, 2008, defendant FREIGHTLINER 21 CUSTOM CHASSIS CORPORATION, filed in the United States District Court for the 22 Southern District of California its Notice of Removal of Action to said United States District 23 Court and Notice of Joinder thereto. A true and correct copy of the Notice of Removal and 24 Notice of Joinder are attached hereto as Exhibit A. The documents listed as Exhibits A 25 and B in the Notice of Removal are part of the Court's file in this matter. 26 27 III

NOTICE TO SUPERIOR COURT CLERK OF FILING OF REMOVAL OF ACTION

PLEASE TAKE FURTHER NOTICE that pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446, the filing of the Notice of Removal with the United States District Court, together with the filing of a copy of said Notice with this court, effects the removal of this action and the above-captioned court may proceed no further unless and until the case is remanded.

DATED: May 16, 2008

MURCHISON-& CUMMING, LLP

By:

Richard C. Moreno Anastasia K. Mazzella

Attorneys for Defendant, FREIGHTLINER CUSTOM CHASSIS CORPORATION, sued and served herein as DOE 1

J:\RCM\28430\MTN\NOT-REMOVAL.SUP.CT.

05-15-'08 10:47 FROM-GOGGLAW

949-753-0265

T-190 P002/004 F-048

1	Richard C. Moreno (SBN 190869)				
2	Anastasia K. Mazzella (SBN 245201) amazzella@murchisonlaw.com				
3	MURCHISON & CUMMING, LLP				
4	801 South Grand Avenue, 9th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017-4613				
5	Telephone: (213) 623-7400 Facsimile: (213) 623-6336				
6	Attorneys for Defendant, FREIGHTLINER CUSTOM CHASSIS CORPORATION, sued				
7	and served herein as DOE 1				
8					
9	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT			
10	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
11					
12	ROLAND NATOLI and BARBARA	CASE NO.			
13	NATOLI individuals,	NOTICE OF JOINDER IN NOTICE			
14	Plaintiffs,	OF REMOVAL OF ACTION			
15	vs.	[28 U.S.C. § 1441(a) and (b)]			
·	TIFFIN MOTOR HOMES, INC., and DOES 1-100, inclusive,	(Diversity)			
16		[Filed Concurrently With Notice of Removal and Notice of Interested			
17	Defendants.	Parties]			
18					
19					
20	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that defe	ndant TIFFIN MOTOR HOMES, INC.			
21	hereby joins in defendant FREIGHTLINER CUSTOM CHASSIS CORPORATION'S				
22	Notice of Removal of the state court action described in said Notice of Removal.				
23	DATED: May 15, 2008 Respec	ctfully submitted,			
24	GATI	es, o'doherty, gonter, & Guy			
25		Matha Musher			
26	By: N	latthew M. Proudfoot			
27	Ä	ttorneys for Defendant, MFFIN MOTOR			
28		OME, INC.			
- 1	t and the second se				

### MURCHISON & CUMMING, LLP

By:

Richard C. Moreno Anastasia K. Mazzella Attorneys for Defendant, FREIGHTLINER CUSTOM CHASSIS CORPORATION, sued and served herein as DOE 1

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

#### STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. My business address is 801 South Grand Avenue, 9th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90017-4613.

On May / 2008, I served true copies of the following document(s) described as NOTICE OF JOINDER IN NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF ACTION on the interested parties in this action as follows:

#### SEE ATTACHED LIST

BY MAIL: I enclosed the document(s) in a sealed envelope or package addressed to the persons at the addresses listed in the Service List and placed the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with Murchison & Cumming's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that the correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct and that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.

Executed on May 14, 2008, at Los Angeles, California.

Marian R. Wah quist

### SERVICE LIST Natoli, et al., vs. Tiffin Motor Homes, Inc., etc., et al.

Douglas C. Sohn, Esq. Sohn & Associates 110 West C Street, Suite 1300 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619-237-7646 Facsimile: 858-484-3365

Attorneys for Piaintiffs

1 2	Richard C. Moreno (SBN 190869) rmoreno@murchisonlaw.com Anastasia K. Mazzella (SBN 245201) amazzella@murchisonlaw.com			
2	amazzella@murchisonlaw.com			
3	MURCHISON & CUMMING, LLP 801 South Grand Avenue, 9th Floor			
4	Los Angeles, California 90017-4613 Telephone: (213) 623-7400 Facsimile: (213) 623-6336			
5	Facsimile: (213) 623-6336			
6	Attorneys for Defendant, FREIGHTLINER CUSTOM CHASSIS CORPORATION, sued			
7	and served herein as DOE 1			
8				
9	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT		
10	SOUTHERN DISTR	CICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11				
12	ROLAND NATOLI and BARBARA	CASE NO.		
13	NATOLI individuals,	NOTICE TO UNITED STATES		
Į	Plaintiffs,	DISTRICT COURT CLERK OF		
14	vs.	REMOVAL OF ACTION; DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL		
15	TIFFIN MOTOR HOMES, INC., and	[28 U.S.C. § 1441(a) and (b)]		
16	DOES 1-100, inclusive,	(Diversity)		
17	Defendants.			
18		[Filed Concurrently With Notice of Joinder and Notice of Interested Parties]		
19		1 artiesj		
20				
21	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that defe	ndant FREIGHTLINER CUSTOM CHASSIS		
22	i i	as "FREIGHTLINER") hereby removes to this		
23	Court the state action described below:			
24	1. On November 29, 2007, plaintiffs ROLAND NATOLI and BARBARA			
25	NATOLI ("plaintiffs") commenced an a	action in the Superior Court of the State of		
26	California, County of San Diego, entitle	d Roland Natoli and Barbara Natoli v. Tiffin		
27				
28	MC-CTL.			

11

7

12

13

14

16

17 18

20

21

22

19

23

24

25 26

- On or about December 7, 2007, plaintiffs served defendant TIFFIN MOTOR 2. HOMES, INC. ("TIFFIN") with Summons and Complaint.
  - In March of 2008, plaintiffs filed a First Amended Complaint. 3.
- On or about March 17, 2008, plaintiffs filed an Amendment to Complaint 4. designating FREIGHTLINER as "DOE 1." However, plaintiffs did not serve FREIGHTLINER with the DOE Amendment until April 22, 2008.
- On or about April 22, 2008, plaintiffs served FREIGHTLINER with Summons, the DOE Amendment, and the First Amended Complaint. True and correct copies of the Summons, DOE Amendment, and First Amended Complaint are attached hereto and incorporated by reference herein as Exhibit A.
- FREIGHTLINER filed an Answer to the First Amended Complaint on May 16, 2008 in the above-entitled state court action. A true and correct copy of the Answer is attached hereto and incorporated by reference herein as Exhibit B.
- This action is a civil action over which this Court has original jurisdiction 7. under 28 U.S.C. §1332, in that it is a civil action between citizens of different states and the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000.00, exclusive of interest and costs. Therefore, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §1441(a), FREIGHTLINER may remove this action to this court.
- Diversity is established when an action "is between citizens of different States." 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(1). Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c)(1), "a corporation shall be deemed to be a citizen of any State by which it has been incorporated and of the State where it has its principal place of business." 28 U.S.C. §1332(c)(1).
- At all times relevant to this action and removal, plaintiffs have been citizens of California.
- At all times relevant to this action and removal, corporate defendant TIFFIN 10. has been a citizen of Alabama. TIFFIN was incorporated in Alabama in 1991 and its principal place of business is Alabama. TIFFIN's manufacturing plant and home office are located in Alabama. TIFFIN conducts the bulk of its business and is most noticeably

present in Alabama. TIFFIN has joined in this Notice of Removal of Action as indicated in the Notice of Joinder filed concurrently herewith.

- 11. At all time relevant to this action and removal, corporate defendant and removing party FREIGHTLINER has been a citizen of Delaware (state of incorporation) and South Carolina (principal place of business). FREIGHTLINER was incorporated in the state of Delaware in 1995. FREIGHTLINER's manufacturing plant, corporate office, employees, officers, directors, and bank accounts are located in South Carolina. FREIGHTLINER conducts the bulk of its business and is most noticeably present in South Carolina.
- 12. Plaintiffs have not named or served any other defendants in this action, nor have any other defendants appeared in this action to date. The remaining "DOE" defendants are wholly fictitious and sham parties against whom no relief is, or could be, sought in this action. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a), this Court should disregard the citizenship of any defendant sued under this fictitious name.
- 13. It is apparent from the face of the First Amended Complaint that plaintiffs seek recovery of an amount in excess of \$75,000.00, exclusive of costs and interests. Plaintiffs allege they purchased a motor vehicle that suffered from nonconformities which substantially impaired its use. As a result of said nonconformities, plaintiffs seek replacement of the vehicle or restitution in the following amounts: (1) down payment of \$13, 500; (2) trade-in of \$4, 900; (3) payments in the total amount of \$18, 107.04 as of December 2007 and continuing at the rate of \$1, 131.69 per month; (4) registration fees in the amount of \$1, 048; and (5) pay off of the loan on the vehicle in the amount of \$165, 490.11. Plaintiffs also seek civil penalties available under the California Song-Beverly Act, Civil Code § 1790 et seq. and attorney's fees. (See Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint, ¶ 10, attached hereto as Exhibit A.)
- 14. FREIGHTLINER has, therefore, met its burden of showing a reasonable probability that the amount in controversy satisfies the federal jurisdictional amount.

3

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

This petition is filed with this court within one year of the filing of the action 15. in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1446 (b). The United States District Court for the Southern District of California 16. embraces the county in which the state court action is now pending. Therefore, this action is properly removed to the Southern District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 93(a)(1). Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a), true and correct copies of process, pleadings 17. and orders served by or upon FREIGHTLINER in the state court action are attached as Exhibits A and B, and generally as "remainder of state court action. **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL** Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38, defendant FREIGHTLINER CUSTOM CHASSIS CORPORATION hereby demands trial by jury in this action. Respectfully submitted, DATED: May 16, 2008 MURCHISON & CUMMING, LLP By: Richard C. Moreno Anastasia K. Mazzella Attorneys for Defendant, FREIGHTLINER CUSTOM CHASSIS CORPORATION, sued and served herein as DOE 1 J:\RCM\28430\MTN\NOT-REMOVAL

#### ON FIRST SUMMONS AMENDED COMPLAINT (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

TIFFIN MOTOR HOMES, INC., a Corporation, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÁ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE): ROLAND NATOLI and BARBARA NATOLI

### RECEIVE DEUM-100

APR 2 2 2008

温温( 1 **6** す)%

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can uso for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Holp Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse

nationation at the California Courts Offline Self-Heip Contar (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfine), your county law library, or the courtnesse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filling fee, ask the court clork for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away, if you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Courts from a covereithers. Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfholp), or by contacting your local court or county bar association.

Tiene 30 DIAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeles logales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que se entregue una copia al demandante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp/espanol/), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no prosenta puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le de on formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no prosenta

puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le de un formulario de exención de pago de cuolas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar se sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más edvertencia. Hay otros requisitos legales. Es recomendable que llamo a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios. legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios logales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sitio web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Contro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.courtinio.ca.gov/setfhelp/espanol/) o poniéndose en contacto con la corte o el colegio de abogados locales.

The name and address of the court is: (El nombre y dirección de la corte es):		CASE NUMBER: 37-2007-00 (Número del CesoCU-MC-CTI)	082477-
San Diego Superior Court, Central Division 330 W, Broadway		<b>L</b>	
San Diego, CA 92101 The name, address, and telephone number of pla	intiffs attorney, or plaintiff without a	n attomev is	
(C) Nombre, la dirección y el número de teléfono d	iel abogado del demandante, o del c	demandante que no tiene abogado, e.	s):
Douglas C. Sohn, SOHN & ASSOCIATES, 110 W. C Street, Suite 1300, San Diego, CA	619-237-7646	•	
•	. 92101	K Brown	
DATE: (Fecha) HAD * 9 croe	Clerk, by		, Deputy
(For proof of service of this summons, use Proof of	(Secretano)		(Adjunto)
Para prueba de entrega de esta citatión use el fo.  NOTICE TO THE  1. as an in	mulario Proof of Service of Summo E PERSON SERVED: You are serve dividual defendant, erson sued under the fictitious name	ns, <i>(POS-010)).</i> ed	
3. 🗓 on beha	alf of (specify): FREIGHTLIN	ER CUSTOM CHASSIS CO	ORP.
under: 🗀	CCP 416.10 (corporation) CCP 416.20 (defunct corporation) CCP 416.40 (association or partner	CCP 416.60 (minor) CCP 416.70 (conserval) Ship) CCP 416.90 (authorized	
	other (specify):	· <del>- ; -</del>	
4 by perso	onal delivery on (dale):		Page I of 1
Form Adopted for Mandatory Lise		0-1-40-20-4	

Stresson (Mex. Tatabak 1, 2004) Stresson (Mex. Tatabak 1, 2004)

SUMMONS

	•
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Rising, Middle that reunber, and actions).	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Douglas C. Sohn SBN 82920	FILED
SOHN & ASSOCIATES	CIVIL BUSINESS DEFICE 14
110 W. C Street, Some 1300	CENTRAL DIVISION
San Diego, CA 92101	· •
TELEPHONE NO. 619-237-7646 FAX NO.: 858-759-4299 ATTORNEY FOR (Warrel: Plaintiffs ROLAND NATOLI and BARBARA NATOLI	03 MAR 17 PM 2:54
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORMA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO    HALL OF JUSTICE, 330 W BROADWAY, SAN DIEGO, CA 82101-3827   NORTH COUNTY DIVISION, 325 S. MELROSE DR., VISTA, CA 92081-6643	CLERK-SUPERIOR COURT
MORTH COUNTY DIVISION 325 S ME ROSE DR VISTA CA 92091.6643	SAN DIEGO COUNTY. CA
	Sin Sizao Octiviti Ch
RAMONA BRANCH, 1428 MONTECTO RD., RAMONA, CA 92065-5200 SOUTH COUNTY DIVISION, 500 3RD AVE., CHULA VISTA, CA 81810-5648	
PLANTIFF(S) ROLAND NATOLI and BARBARA NATOLI	JUDGE: William R. Nevitt, Jr.
DEFENDANT(S) TIFFIN MOTOR HOMES, INC., et al.	рея: <u>C-64</u>
AMENDMENT TO COMPLAINT	CASE NUMBER
(CCP 473, 474)	37-2007-00082477-CU-MC-CTL
Under Section 474, Code of Civil Procedure: FICTITIOUS NAME (Court order required once case is at issue. San Diego Su	•
Plaintiff(s), being ignorant of the true name of a defendant when the complaint in designated said defendant in the complaint by the fictitious name of	the above-named case was filed, and having
DOE 1	
and having discovered the true name of the said defendant to be	
FREIGHTLINER CUSTOM CHASSIS CORP.	•
amends the complaint by inserting such true name in place and stead of such complaint.	fictious name wherever it appears in said
Date: March 12, 2008	
	AM (a) (a) Clair March
DOUGLAS C. SOF	in Attorney(s) for Plaintiff(s)
Under Section 473, Code of Civil Procedure: NAME - Add or Correct (Court order required)	
Plaintiff(s), having designated a 📝 defendant 🔲 plaintiff in the complaint b	
- Canding Complaint C	y me name or :
and having discovered said name to be incorrect and the correct name is	I defendant also uses the name of
C sale mand to be also up and the state of Henre 13	deleticant also uses the name of
amends the complaint by 🔲 substituting 🔲 adding such name(s) wherever	er the name of
appears in said complaint.	
Date:	
	Attorney(s) for Plaintiff(s)
The above amendment to the consideration of the CRDER	Attorney(s) for Plaintiff(s)
The above amendment to the complaint is allowed.	Attorney(s) for Plaintiff(s)
ne above amendment to the complaint is allowed.	Attorney(s) for Plaintiff(s)
The above amendment to the complaint is allowed.	
ne above amendment to the complaint is allowed.	Attorney(s) for Plaintiff(s)  Judge of the Superior Court

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Law Offices

#### Sohn & Associates

Douglas C. Sohn State Bar No. 82920 110 West C Street, Suite 1300 San Diego, California 92101 (619) 237-7646 (858) 484-3365 Fax

Attorney for Plaintiffs

#### SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

#### COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

CASE NO: 37-2007-00082477-CU-MC-CTL ROLAND NATOLI and BARBARA NATOLI. Judge: William R. Nevitt, Ir. Plaintiffs. Dept. C-64 Complaint Filed: November 29, 2007 TIFFIN MOTOR HOMES, INC., a FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR Corporation, and DOES 1 through 100, RESTITUTION AND DAMAGES (Songinclusive. Beverly) Defendants.

#### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION SONG-BEVERLY ACT (All Defendants)

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

#### PLAINTIFFS ALLEGE:

- 1. Plaintiffs ROLAND NATOLI and BARBARA NATOLI (hereinafter "Plaintiffs") are, and at all times mentioned herein were, married individuals and buyers or lessees of a consumer good or new motor vehicle as defined in Civil Code Section 1790 et seq..
- 2. Defendant TIFFIN MOTOR HOMES, INC. (hereinafter "Defendant TIFFIN") is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a corporation qualified to do business in, and doing business in, the State of California, and a manufacturer or distributor of consumer goods as defined in Civil Code Section 1790 et seq..
  - 3. Plaintiffs are ignorant of the true names and capacities of Defendants sued herein as

Associates 110 West C 54 iuse (300 San Diego, CA 92101 28 (619) 237-7646

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

8

21

19

28

Does I through 100 and therefore sue said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiffs will seek leave of court to amend this Complaint to allege their true names and capacities when the same becomes known to them. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereupon allege that each of said fictitiously named Defendants is responsible in some manner for Plaintiffs' damages as herein alleged.

- 4. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereupon allege that each of the defendants named herein was the agent or employee of each of the other defendants named herein and in doing those things hereinalleged was acting within the course and scope of said agency or employment.
- 5. On or about August 3, 2006, Plaintiffs purchased a 2006 Allegro Bay Recreational Vehicle, VIN 4UZACLBW26CX60514, manufactured or distributed by Defendant TIFFIN (hercinafter "the Vehicle") for which Defendant TIFFIN made one or more express warranties. The Vehicle was a consumer good or new motor vehicle, as defined in Civil Code 1790 et seq.. Attached hereto, for reference only, and marked "Exhibit A" is one of said express warranties.
- 6. Sometime after purchase, and within the warranty period, the Vehicle began to suffer from nonconformities which substantially impaired the use, value, or safety of the new motor vehicle to Plaintiffs. The nonconformities (hereinafter "the nonconformities") include, but are not limited to, the following: defective leveler jack, defective slideouts, defective engine, defective turbo hose clamp, defective DVD player, defective carpet, defective doors, defective vents, defective tow harness, defective wall paneling, defective radio, defective shower, defective windows, defective chassis charge air cooler.
- Each of the foregoing defects was covered by one or more express warranties made by Defendant TIFFIN, including, but not limited to, Exhibit A.
- 8. Within the past four years, Plaintiffs delivered the Vehicle to Defendant TIFFIN's service and repair facility within the County of San Diego, for service or repair of the nonconformities.
- 9. Despite a reasonable number of attempts, and despite more than 30 days out of service, Defendant TIFFIN's service and repair facility has been unable to service or repair the

Vehicle to conform to the express warranty or warranties given by Defendant TIFFIN.

10. As a result, Plaintiffs are entitled to replacement of the Vehicle, or restitution, in the following amounts: down payment of \$13,500, trade-in of \$4,900, payments in the total amount of \$18,107.04 as of December, 2007 and continuing at the rate of \$1,131.69 per month, 2008 registration in the amount of \$1,048.00 and continuing annually, and pay off of the loan on the Vehicle in the approximate amount of \$165,490.11. Plaintiffs will amend this complaint to allege said amounts more particularly when they become known to them, or according to proof at the time of trial.

- 11. As a further result, Plaintiffs have incurred incidental damages in an amount as yet unascertained. Plaintiffs will amend this complaint to allege said amount when it becomes known to them, or according to proof at the time of trial.
- 12. As a further result, Plaintiffs have incurred consequential damages in an amount as yet unascertained. Plaintiffs will amend this complaint to allege said amount when it becomes known to them, or according to proof at the time of trial.
- 13. Defendant TIFFIN willfully failed to promptly repurchase or replace the Vehicle when request was made therefor, and Plaintiffs are entitled thereby to a civil penalty in the amount of twice Plaintiffs' actual damages.
- 14. Plaintiffs have incurred attorney's fees and costs in bringing the within action pursuant to Civil Code Section 1790 et seq., in amount as yet unascertained. Plaintiffs will amend this complaint to allege said amount when it becomes known to them, or according to proof at the time of trial.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as follows:

- Replacement of the Vehicle or restitution; 1.
- 2. Incidental damages according to proof;
- 3. Consequential damages according to proof;
- 4. Civil penalty;
- 5. Attorney's fees;

6. Costs of suit;

- 7. Pre-judgment and post-judgment interest at the lawful rate; and,
- 8. Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DATED: March 5, 2008

SOHN & ASSOCIATES

DOUGLAS C. SOHN Attorney for Plaintiffs

25

Lim Offices
Solin &
Associatics
110 West C St
Subt 1700
Sint 1700
Sin Dirgo, CA 92104
(619) 237-7646

FIRST AMENINED COMBI ADDE

Page 21 of 67

#### PART I. NEW VEHICLE BASIC WARRANTY

- 1. COVERAGE TO PURCHASER. This limited warranty (Limited Warranty) applies to the first purchaser (Purchaser) and does not apply to an owner other than the Purchaser. This Limited Warranty also does not apply to any rental or leased unit, or to any unit used in whole or in part for a commercial purpose.
- 2. WARRANTY PERIOD AND REMEDIES. Tiffin Motorhomes, Inc. (Tiffin) warrants that the portions of the vehicle which Tiffin manufactures or assembles shall be free from defect (Defect) in material and workmanship for the period beginning on the date of purchase and continuing for one (1) year from the date of purchase, or twelve thousand (12,000) miles, whichever occurs first (the Warranty Period). The date of purchase shall be the date that the vehicle is delivered to you.

In the event of a covered Defect during the Warranty Period, Tiffin will repair the defect or, at its option, replace parts as necessary at no charge to Purchaser, except for the items which are specifically excluded below. These are Purchaser's sole and exclusive remedies. This Limited Warranty applies only to the covered portion of the vehicle and any of its parts supplied or manufactured by Tiffin, which are defective at the time of purchase or become defective during normal use during the Warranty Period. The Defect must occur under normal use of the vehicle during the Warranty Period.

This warranty does not apply to items and parts not manufactured or supplied by Tiffin, nor to items purchased, manufactured or installed outside the Tiffin's factory. Except as provided in this Warranty, the entire risk as to the quality and performance of the yehicle is with the Purchaser.

3. ITEMS COVERED. This warranty covers the steel or aluminum frame super structure including wall paneling, decor board, headliner, foam insulation, fiberglass skin, rubber roof, and all other parts of the Hydra-Vac walls and roof. This warranty also covers the following list of equipment, some of which may also come with warranties from their respective manufacturers;

Air Conditioner (desh) Air Conditioner (roof) T.V. Antenna Converter (110-12V) Water Pump Furnace L.P. Gas Bottle Power Range Hood Pre-finished Paneling Range Refrigerator Sink Stereo Vacuum Cleaner Showerhead L.P Gas Valves Interior and Exterior

Toilat.

Water Heater Carpet Cushion Foam Compartment Doors Driver/Passenger Seats Electrical Systems Cushlon Fabric Fire Extinguisher Faucets Light Flatures Plumbing System **Switches** Shower Door VinV Sealants Windows

Fuses

#### PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL

2

3

5

6

I declare that I am employed in the County of San Diego, State of California, that I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action, and that my business address is 110 W. C. Street, Suite 1300, San Diego, CA, 92101

7

9

11

10

12

14

16

17

18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25 26

& 27

Associates 2 F10 West C St Nute (300 en Diego, CA 92191 2 16191 237-7646 is 110 W. C Street, Suite 1300, San Diego, CA 92101.

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. Under that practice, it

would, in the ordinary course of business, be deposited, with first class postage fully prepaid thereon, with the United States Postal Service that same day pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Section 1013a. I am aware that on a motion of party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of

deposit for mailing affidavit.

On March 5, 2008, I served the foregoing FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR RESTITUTION AND DAMAGES on the interested parties in this action by placing a true copy thereof, enclosed in a sealed envelope, addressed as follows, for collection and mailing on that date, following ordinary business practices:

Matthew M. Proudfout, Esq. GATES, O'DOHERTY, GONTER & GUY 15635 Alton Parkway, Suite 260 Irvine, CA 92618

l. declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 5<sup>th</sup> day of March, 2008, at San Diego, California.

CHERYL L. SOHN

**EXHIBIT B** 

1	Richard C. Moreno (SBN 190869) Anastasia K. Mazzella (SBN 245201)					
2	MURCHISON & CUMMING, LLP 801 South Grand Avenue, 9th Floor					
3	Los Angeles, California 90017-4613					
4	Telephone: (213) 623-7400 Facsimile: (213) 623-6336					
5	E-Mail: rmoreno@murchisonlaw.com amazzella@murchisonlaw.com					
6	Attorneys for Defendant, FREIGHTLINER					
7	CUSTOM CHASSIS CORPORATION, sued and served herein as DOE 1					
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA					
9	COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO					
10						
11	ROLAND NATOLI and BARBARA NATOLI individuals,	CASE NO. 37-200 CTL	7-00082477-CU-MC-			
12	Plaintiffs,	ANSWER TO FIRE				
13	vs.		CUSTOM CHASSIS			
14 15	TIFFIN MOTOR HOMES, INC., and DOES 1-100, inclusive,		William R. Nevitt, Jr.,			
16	Defendants.	Action Filed:	November 29, 2007			
17		Trial Date:	None Set			
18						
19.	Defendant FREIGHTLINER CUSTON	и CHASSIS CORPC	RATION, for itself and itse			
20	alone, in answer to plaintiffs' First Amended	l Complaint ("Comp	laint") on file herein, admit			
21	denies and alleges as follows:					
22	GENER	AL DENIAL				
23	A Could Broadure cocti					
24	431.30, this answering defendant generally and specifically denies each and every, all a					

reason of any carelessness, negligence, act or omission of this answering defendant.

singular, conjunctively and disjunctively, allegation contained in said Complaint, and each and

every part thereof, and each and every cause of action thereof, and further specifically denies

that plaintiff has been injured or damaged in the sum alleged, or in any other sum, or at all, by

26

27

# 

#### 

#### 

#### 

# 

# 

# 

#### FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

2. As a first and separate affirmative defense to the complaint, this answering defendant alleges that each cause of action is barred by the applicable statute of limitations.

### SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

3. As a second and separate affirmative defense to the complaint, this answering defendant alleges that each of the causes of action fails to set forth facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action against this answering defendant.

#### THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

4. As a third and separate affirmative defense to the complaint, this answering defendant alleges that plaintiffs are barred from proceeding with said causes of action for failure to provide the requisite notice to defendant regarding their warranty claims.

### FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

5. As a fourth and separate affirmative defense to the complaint, this answering defendant alleges that plaintiffs lack standing to sue and are not in privity with defendant.

### FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

6. As a fifth and separate affirmative defense to the complaint, this answering defendant alleges that plaintiffs and/or their agents acted negligently, recklessly, or intentionally in and about the matters alleged herein and to the extent that plaintiffs seek recovery for the alleged negligence, reckless and/or intentional acts and/or omissions of this answering defendant, recovery should be offset to the extent of plaintiffs' own negligent, reckless, and/or intentional actions and/or omissions.

### SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

7. As a sixth and separate affirmative defense to the complaint, this answering defendant alleges that plaintiffs' damages, if any, were proximately caused by the negligent, reckless, or intentional acts of third parties as to whom this answering defendant had neither the right nor the duty nor the opportunity to exercise control and who acted without the knowledge, participation, approval, or ratification of this answering defendant.

2

3 4

# 5 6

8

7

10 11

13

12

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24 25

26 27

28

#### SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a seventh and separate affirmative defense to the complaint, this answering 8. defendant alleges that plaintiffs are guilty of unreasonable delay in filing suit herein, which delay has caused prejudice to this answering defendant and that, therefore, this action is barred by laches.

#### EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As an eighth and separate affirmative defense to the complaint, this answering 9. defendant alleges that plaintiffs are barred from asserting each and every purported cause of action in the complaint by reason of plaintiffs and their agents having ratified, consented, and approved the alleged wrongful acts and/or omissions of this answering defendant.

#### NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a ninth and separate affirmative defense to the complaint, this answering 10. defendant alleges that plaintiffs are equitably estopped from asserting each and every purported cause of action in the complaint by reason of the acts, the omissions, and conduct of plaintiffs and/or certain of their agents upon which this answering defendant relied to its prejudice and detriment.

# TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a tenth and separate affirmative defense to the complaint, this answering 11. defendant alleges that plaintiffs are barred from recovering on each and every purported cause of action by reason of waiver.

### **ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

As an eleventh and separate affirmative defense to the complaint, this answering defendant alleges plaintiffs are barred from recovering on each and every purported cause of action by virtue of their unclean hands.

### TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a twelfth and separate affirmative defense to the complaint, this answering 13. defendant alleges that all of plaintiffs' causes of action are barred because plaintiffs and/or their

5 6

8 9

7

10 11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

III

agents had the opportunity to and did fully inspect the product in question and approved of the condition of the product.

# THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a thirteenth and separate affirmative defense to the complaint, this answering defendant alleges that plaintiffs could have, by the exercise of reasonable diligence, limited or prevented their damages, if any, as a result of the alleged wrongful acts set forth in the complaint and that they have failed or refused to do so. Such failure or refusal on the part of plaintiffs constitute a failure to mitigate their damages.

# FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a fourteenth and separate affirmative defense to the complaint, this answering 15. defendant alleges that plaintiffs were, at all times, fully apprised of all material facts regarding each and every act alleged in the complaint and that plaintiffs acted or refrained from acting with the full knowledge of all circumstances.

# FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a fifteenth and separate affirmative defense to the complaint, this answering 16. defendant alleges that all of the work allegedly performed by defendant was performed in a workmanlike manner, which was performed in accordance with all applicable codes, ordinances, and regulations, and was approved by all applicable municipal agencies.

# SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

17. As a sixteenth and separate affirmative defense, this answering defendant alleges that the damages allegedly sustained by plaintiffs were the proximate result of plaintiffs' or others' misuse of the product or subsequent modification, repair and/or maintenance of the product involved.

# SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a seventeenth and separate affirmative defense, this answering defendant 18. alleges that all events, happenings, and damages, if any, as set forth in the complaint were the result of an unforeseeable Act of God.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#### EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As an eighteenth and separate affirmative defense, this answering defendant 19. alleges that defendant's product was duly inspected, approved and accepted by the plaintiffs, their agents and duly authorized representatives, payment(s) was/were made pursuant to the terms and conditions of any contracts between said persons and this defendant.

#### NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a nineteenth and separate affirmative defense, this answering defendant alleges that the losses and damages allegedly sustained by plaintiffs, if any, were proximately caused by the intervening and superseding acts of others, which intervening and superseding acts bar and/or diminish plaintiffs' recovery, if any, against this answering defendant.

#### TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a twentieth and separate affirmative defense, this answering defendant alleges 21. that, prior to and at the time of the sale herein, there was attached to the goods a conspicuous writing which clearly informed the buyer in simple and concise language that the goods were being sold pursuant to a limited warranty which is limited to repair and replacement of conditions and/or components covered under the warranty; that the entire risk as to the quality and performance of the goods was with the buyer; and that should the goods prove defective following their purchase, the buyer and not the manufacturer, distributor or retailer assumed the entire cost of all necessary servicing or repair. As a result, defendant effectively disclaimed any implied warranties, including, but not limited to, recovery of consequential damages, the implied warranty of merchantability and implied warranty of fitness for a particular purpose, and by that sale plaintiff effectively waived any such warranty.

# TWENTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a twenty-first and separate affirmative defense, this answering defendant 22. alleges that any defect or nonconformity of which the plaintiffs complain in this matter was proximately caused by the unauthorized or unreasonable use of the goods by the plaintiffs following sale and delivery thereof by defendant to plaintiffs.

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

# TWENTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a twenty-second and separate affirmative defense, this answering defendant 23. alleges that the component parts which are alleged to have been defective were not expressly warranted by this responding party. Therefore, plaintiffs are barred from seeking express warranty damages against this responding party.

# TWENTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a twenty-third and separate affirmative defense, this answering defendant 24. alleges that plaintiffs' cause of action for breach of express warranty and incidental and consequential damages is barred by the express disclaimers and limitations of liability contained in the alleged express warranties made by this defendant.

# TWENTY-FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a twenty-fourth and separate affirmative defense, this answering defendant 25. alleges that because of plaintiffs' continued use of the vehicle, plaintiffs have waived their right to seek restitution or rescission-type damages against defendant as a matter of law.

# TWENTY-FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a twenty-fifth and separate affirmative defense, this answering defendant 26. alleges that plaintiffs have failed to provide defendant with a reasonable opportunity to repair the vehicle, thereby waiving any claim for damages.

Bv:

DATED: May 16, 2008

MURCHISON & CUMMING, LLP

Richard C. Moreno Anastasia K. Mazzella

Attorneys for Defendant, FREIGHTLINER CUSTOM CHASSIS CORPORATION, sued and served herein as DOE 1

J:\RCM\28430\PLD\ANS-FAC-051608.AKM

25

24

26

27

### **PROOF OF SERVICE**

### STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. My business address is 801 South Grand Avenue, 9th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90017-4613.

On May \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2008, I served true copies of the following document(s) described as ANSWER TO COMPLAINT ON BEHALF OF FREIGHTLINER CUSTOM CHASSIS CORPORATION on the interested parties in this action as follows:

#### SEE ATTACHED LIST

BY MAIL: I enclosed the document(s) in a sealed envelope or package addressed to the persons at the addresses listed in the Service List and placed the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with Murchison & Cumming's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that the correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on May 1/4, 2008, at Los Angeles, California.

Marian R. Wahlquist

# SERVICE LIST Natoli, et al., vs. Tiffin Motor Homes, Inc., etc., et al.

Douglas C. Sohn, Esq. Sohn & Associates 110 West C Street, Suite 1300 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619-237-7646 Facsimile: 858-484-3365

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

# STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. My business address is 801 South Grand Avenue, 9th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90017-4613.

PROOF OF SERVICE

On May 1 2008, I served true copies of the following document(s) described as NOTICE TO SUPERIOR COURT CLERK OF FILING OF REMOVAL OF ACTION on the interested parties in this action as follows:

#### SEE ATTACHED LIST

BY MAIL: I enclosed the document(s) in a sealed envelope or package addressed to the persons at the addresses listed in the Service List and placed the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with Murchison & Cumming's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that the correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on May 1/2, 2008, at Los Angeles, California.

Marian R. Wahlquist

# SERVICE LIST Natoli, et al., vs. Tiffin Motor Homes, Inc., etc., et al.

Douglas C. Sohn, Esq. Sohn & Associates 110 West C Street, Suite 1300

San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619-237-7646 Facsimile: 858-484-3365

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

Richard C. Moreno (SBN 190869) Anastasia K. Mazzella (SBN 245201) MURCHISON & CUMMING, LLP 801 South Grand Avenue, 9th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017-4613 Telephone: (213) 623-7400 Facsimile: (213) 623-6336 rmoreno@murchisonlaw.com E-Mail: amazzella@murchisonlaw.com 5 Attorneys for Defendant, FREIGHTLINER CUSTOM CHASSIS CORPORATION, sued and served herein as DOE 1 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO 10 11 CASE NO. 37-2007-00082477-CU-MC-ROLAND NATOLI and BARBARA 12 NATOLI individuals. CTL 13 NOTICE TO ADVERSE PARTY RE Plaintiffs, REMOVAL OF ACTION TO UNITED 14 STATES DISTRICT COURT VS. 15 Assigned to Hon. William R. Nevitt, Jr., TIFFIN MOTOR HOMES, INC., and Dept. C-64 16 DOES 1-100, inclusive, November 29, 2007 Action Filed: Defendants. 17 None Set Trial Date: 18 19 20 TO PLAINTIFFS ROLAND NATOLI AND BARBARA NATOLI AND TO THEIR COUNSEL OF 21 RECORD: 22 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that a Notice of Removal of Action and Notice of Joinder 23 were filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California, on May 24 25 16, 2008. 26 111 27 111 28 111

NOTICE TO ADVERSE PARTY RE REMOVAL OF ACTION TO UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

Copies of the Notice of Removal and Notice of Joinder are attached to this Notice and are served and filed herewith. **MURCHISON & CUMMING, LLP** DATED: May 16, 2008

By:

Richard C. Moreno Anastasia K. Mazzella

Attorneys for Defendant, FREIGHTLINER CUSTOM CHASSIS CORPORATION, sued

and served herein as DOE 1

J:\RCM\28430\MTN\NOT-REMOVAL.PLTF

26

27

28

949-753-0265 T-190 P002/004 F-048 05-15-'08 10:47 FROM-GOGGLAW Richard C. Moreno (SBN 190869) rmoreno@murchisonlaw.com Anastasia K. Mazzella (SBN 245201) amazzella@murchisonlaw.com MURCHISON & CUMMING, LLP 801 South Grand Avenue, 9th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017-4613 Telephone: (213) 623-7400 Facsimile: (213) 623-6336 Attorneys for Defendant, FREIGHTLINER CUSTOM CHASSIS CORPORATION, sued 6 7 and served herein as DOE 1 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 ROLAND NATOLI and BARBARA CASE NO. 12 NATOLI individuals, NOTICE OF JOINDER IN NOTICE 13 Plaintiffs. OF REMOVAL OF ACTION 14 [28 U.S.C. § 1441(a) and (b)] VS. 15 (Diversity) TIFFIN MOTOR HOMES, INC., and DOES 1-100, inclusive, 16 [Filed Concurrently With Notice of Removal and Notice of Interested Defendants. 17 Parties] 18 19 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that defendant TIFFIN MOTOR HOMES, INC. 20 hereby joins in defendant FREIGHTLINER CUSTOM CHASSIS CORPORATION'S 21 Notice of Removal of the state court action described in said Notice of Removal. 22 Respectfully submitted, 23 DATED: May 15, 2008 GATES, O'DOHERTY, GONTER, & GUY 24

HOME, INC.

Matthew M. Proudfoot Attorneys for Defendant, VIFFIN MOTOR

By:

# **MURCHISON & CUMMING, LLP**

By:

Richard C. Moreno
Anastasia K. Mazzella
Attorneys for Defendant, FREIGHTLINER
CUSTOM CHASSIS CORPORATION, sued
and served herein as DOE 1

### PROOF OF SERVICE

# STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. My business address is 801 South Grand Avenue, 9th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90017-4613.

On May // , 2008, I served true copies of the following document(s) described as NOTICE OF JOINDER IN NOTICE OF REMOVAL OF ACTION on the interested parties in this action as follows:

#### SEE ATTACHED LIST

BY MAIL: I enclosed the document(s) in a sealed envelope or package addressed to the persons at the addresses listed in the Service List and placed the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with Murchison & Cumming's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that the correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct and that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.

Executed on May 14, 2008, at Los Angeles, California.

Marian R. Wahlquist

## SERVICE LIST Natoli, et al., vs. Tiffin Motor Homes, Inc., etc., et al.

Douglas C. Sohn, Esq. Sohn & Associates 110 West C Street, Suite 1300 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619-237-7646 Facsimile: 858-484-3365

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

- II			
2 3 4 5	Richard C. Moreno (SBN 190869) rmoreno@murchisonlaw.com Anastasia K. Mazzella (SBN 245201) amazzella@murchisonlaw.com MURCHISON & CUMMING, LLP 801 South Grand Avenue, 9th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017-4613 Telephone: (213) 623-7400 Facsimile: (213) 623-6336		
7	Attorneys for Defendant, FREIGHTLIN CUSTOM CHASSIS CORPORATION, stand served herein as DOE 1	ER ued	
8			
9	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT	
0	SOUTHERN DISTR	ICT OF CALIFORNIA	
1			
2	ROLAND NATOLI and BARBARA NATOLI individuals,	CASE NO.	
3	Plaintiffs,	NOTICE TO UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CLERK OF	
4	VS.	REMOVAL OF ACTION; DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	
5	TIFFIN MOTOR HOMES, INC., and	[28 U.S.C. § 1441(a) and (b)]	
6	DOES 1-100, inclusive,	(Diversity)	
7 8	Defendants.	[Filed Concurrently With Notice of Joinder and Notice of Interested	
9		Parties]	
0			
1	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that defe	ndant FREIGHTLINER CUSTOM CHASSIS	
2	l1 ,	as "FREIGHTLINER") hereby removes to this	
23	Court the state action described below:		
4	1. On November 29, 2007, pla	aintiffs ROLAND NATOLI and BARBARA	
25	NATOLI ("plaintiffs") commenced an a	action in the Superior Court of the State o	
26	California, County of San Diego, entitle	d <u>Roland Natoli and Barbara Natoli v. Tiffi</u>	
27	Motor Homes, Inc. and Does 1 through 10	0, inclusive, Case No. 37-2007-00082477-CU	
28	MC-CTL.		

- 1 2
- 3 4
- 5

- 7 8
- 10

- 12 13
- 14
- 15 16
- 17
- 18 19
- 20 21
- 22
- 23 24
- 25 26
- 27

- On or about December 7, 2007, plaintiffs served defendant TIFFIN MOTOR 2. HOMES, INC. ("TIFFIN") with Summons and Complaint.
  - In March of 2008, plaintiffs filed a First Amended Complaint. 3.
- On or about March 17, 2008, plaintiffs filed an Amendment to Complaint 4. designating FREIGHTLINER as "DOE 1." However, plaintiffs did not serve FREIGHTLINER with the DOE Amendment until April 22, 2008.
- On or about April 22, 2008, plaintiffs served FREIGHTLINER with Summons, the DOE Amendment, and the First Amended Complaint. True and correct copies of the Summons, DOE Amendment, and First Amended Complaint are attached hereto and incorporated by reference herein as Exhibit A.
- FREIGHTLINER filed an Answer to the First Amended Complaint on May 6. 16, 2008 in the above-entitled state court action. A true and correct copy of the Answer is attached hereto and incorporated by reference herein as Exhibit B.
- This action is a civil action over which this Court has original jurisdiction 7. under 28 U.S.C. §1332, in that it is a civil action between citizens of different states and the matter in controversy exceeds the sum or value of \$75,000.00, exclusive of interest and costs. Therefore, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §1441(a), FREIGHTLINER may remove this action to this court.
- Diversity is established when an action "is between citizens of different States." 28 U.S.C. § 1332(a)(1). Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c)(1), "a corporation shall be deemed to be a citizen of any State by which it has been incorporated and of the State where it has its principal place of business." 28 U.S.C. §1332(c)(1).
- At all times relevant to this action and removal, plaintiffs have been citizens 9. of California.
- At all times relevant to this action and removal, corporate defendant TIFFIN has been a citizen of Alabama. TIFFIN was incorporated in Alabama in 1991 and its principal place of business is Alabama. TIFFIN's manufacturing plant and home office are located in Alabama. TIFFIN conducts the bulk of its business and is most noticeably

28 ///

- present in Alabama. TIFFIN has joined in this Notice of Removal of Action as indicated in the Notice of Joinder filed concurrently herewith.
- 11. At all time relevant to this action and removal, corporate defendant and removing party FREIGHTLINER has been a citizen of Delaware (state of incorporation) and South Carolina (principal place of business). FREIGHTLINER was incorporated in the state of Delaware in 1995. FREIGHTLINER's manufacturing plant, corporate office, employees, officers, directors, and bank accounts are located in South Carolina. FREIGHTLINER conducts the bulk of its business and is most noticeably present in South Carolina.
- 12. Plaintiffs have not named or served any other defendants in this action, nor have any other defendants appeared in this action to date. The remaining "DOE" defendants are wholly fictitious and sham parties against whom no relief is, or could be, sought in this action. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a), this Court should disregard the citizenship of any defendant sued under this fictitious name.
- 13. It is apparent from the face of the First Amended Complaint that plaintiffs seek recovery of an amount in excess of \$75,000.00, exclusive of costs and interests. Plaintiffs allege they purchased a motor vehicle that suffered from nonconformities which substantially impaired its use. As a result of said nonconformities, plaintiffs seek replacement of the vehicle or restitution in the following amounts: (1) down payment of \$13, 500; (2) trade-in of \$4, 900; (3) payments in the total amount of \$18, 107.04 as of December 2007 and continuing at the rate of \$1, 131.69 per month; (4) registration fees in the amount of \$1,048; and (5) pay off of the loan on the vehicle in the amount of \$165, 490.11. Plaintiffs also seek civil penalties available under the California Song-Beverly Act, Civil Code § 1790 et seq. and attorney's fees. (See Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint, ¶ 10, attached hereto as Exhibit A.)
- 14. FREIGHTLINER has, therefore, met its burden of showing a reasonable probability that the amount in controversy satisfies the federal jurisdictional amount.

- This petition is filed with this court within one year of the filing of the action 15. in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 1446 (b).
- The United States District Court for the Southern District of California 16. embraces the county in which the state court action is now pending. Therefore, this action is properly removed to the Southern District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 93(a)(1).
- Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a), true and correct copies of process, pleadings 17. and orders served by or upon FREIGHTLINER in the state court action are attached as Exhibits A and B, and generally as "remainder of state court action.

# **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 38, defendant FREIGHTLINER CUSTOM CHASSIS CORPORATION hereby demands trial by jury in this action.

DATED: May 16, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

MURCHISON & CUMMING, LLP

By:

Richard C. Moreno Anastasia K. Mazzella

Attorneys for Defendant, FREIGHTLINER CUSTOM CHASSIS CORPORATION,

sued and served herein as DOE 1

J:\RCM\28430\MTN\NOT-REMOVAL-FED CLERK

23

24

25

26

# ON FIRST SUMMONS AMENDED COMPLAINT (CITACION JUDICIAL)

NOTICE TO DEFENDANT: (AVISO AL DEMANDADO):

TIFFIN MOTOR HOMES, INC., a Corporation, and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive

YOU ARE BEING SUED BY PLAINTIFF: (LO ESTÀ DEMANDANDO EL DEMANDANTE): ROLAND NATOLI and BARBARA NATOLI

<b>RECEIVED</b>	UM-100
-----------------	--------

FOR COURT USE ONLY ISOLO PARA USO DE LA CORTE)

· Kurika

269 : 8 ann

You have 30 CALENDAR DAYS after this summons and legal papers are served on you to file a written response at this court and have a copy served on the plaintiff. A letter or phone call will not protect you. Your written response must be in proper legal form if you want the court to hear your case. There may be a court form that you can use for your response. You can find these court forms and more information at the California Courts Online Self-Holp Conter (www.courthinle.ca.gov/selfhelp), your county law library, or the courthouse nearest you. If you cannot pay the filling fee, ask the court clork for a fee waiver form. If you do not file your response on time, you may lose the case by default, and your wages, money, and property may be taken without further warning from the court.

There are other legal requirements. You may want to call an attorney right away. If you do not know an attorney, you may want to call an attorney referral service. If you cannot afford an attorney, you may be eligible for free legal services from a nonprofit legal services program. You can locate these nonprofit groups at the California Legal Services Web site (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), the California Courts Online Self-Help Center (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhoip), or by contacting your local court or county bar association.

Tiene 30 DÍAS DE CALENDARIO después de que le entreguen esta citación y papeies legales para presentar una respuesta por escrito en esta corte y hacer que so entregue una copia al demendante. Una carta o una llamada telefónica no lo protegen. Su respuesta por escrito tiene que estar en formato legal correcto si desea que procesen su caso en la corte. Es posible que haya un formulario que usted pueda usar para su respuesta. Puede encontrar estos formularios de la corte y más información en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California (www.courtinfo.ca.gov/selfhelp/espanol/), en la biblioteca de leyes de su condado o en la corte que le quede más cerca. Si no puede pagar la cuota de presentación, pida al secretario de la corte que le de un formulario de exención de pago de cuotas. Si no presenta su respuesta a tiempo, puede perder el caso por incumplimiento y la corte le podrá quitar su sueldo, dinero y bienes sin más advertencia.

Hay otros requisitos logales. Es recomendable que llame a un abogado inmediatamente. Si no conoce a un abogado, puede llamar a un servicio de remisión a abogados. Si no puede pagar a un abogado, es posible que cumpla con los requisitos para obtener servicios legales gratuitos de un programa de servicios logales sin fines de lucro. Puede encontrar estos grupos sin fines de lucro en el sido web de California Legal Services, (www.lawhelpcalifornia.org), en el Centro de Ayuda de las Cortes de California, (www.courtinfo.ca.cov/selfhelp/espanol/) o ponténdosa en contacto con la corte o el coledo de aboqados jocales.

The name and address of the court is:				1007-00	082477-
(El nombre y dirección de la corte es):	•		CASE N	NUMBER:  of del CasoCU — MC — CTI	
San Diego Superior Court, Central (	Division		Ĺ <u></u>		
330 W. Broadway					
San Diego, CA 92101					
The name, address, and telephone numb	per of plaintiff's attorney	, or plaintiff without	an attomev. i	s:	
tci nombre, la dirección y el número de l	eléfono del abogado de	il demandante, o de	l demandante	a que no tiene abogado, es	s):
Douglas C. Sohn, SOHN & ASSOCI	ATES, 619-237-76	46		,	
110 W. C Street, Suite 1300, San Di	ego, CA 92101				
DATE			K Broy	M)	
DATE: (Fecha) HAR t 9 chae		Clerk, by	<del></del>		_ , Deputy
(For proof of service of this summons, us		(Secretario)			(Adjunto)
Para prueba de entrega de esta citatión NOTICE 1	TO THE PERSON SE as an individual defen- as the person sued un	RVED: You are ser dant,	ved		
3. X	on behalf of (specify):	FREIGHTLI	NER CUS	TOM CHASSIS CO	RP.
unde	r. CCP 416.10 (	corporation)		CCP 416.60 (minor)	
		defunct corporation)	, –	CCP 416.70 (conservate	ee)
		association or partn		CCP 416.90 (authorized	person)
	other (specify				
	by personal delivery or				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	of become deliteral	i tonici.			Page init

Form Adopted for Managery Use Judicial Council of California Surance (Rev. January 1, 2004)

SUMMONS

Code of Carl Pro educe (F 417 20, 465

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY plane, state bar number, and actorney:	FOR COURT USE ONLY
Douglas C. Sohn SBN 82920	F2.50
SOEN & ASSOCIATES 110 W. C Street, Spire 1300	CIVIL BUSINESS OFFICE 14
San Diego, CA 92101	CENTRAL DIVISION
TELEPHONE NO. 619-237-7646 FAX NO.: 858-759-4299	OO MAD LT DU O EL
ATTORNEY FOR Marrel: Plaintiffs ROLAND NATOLI and BARBARA NATOLI	03 MAR 17 PM 2:54
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO    HALL OF JUSTICE, 330 W BROADWAY, SAN DIEGO, CA 92101-3827   NORTH COUNTY DIVISION, 325 S. MELROSE DR., VISTA, CA 92081-6643   EAST COUNTY DIVISION, 250 E. MAIN ST., EL CAJON, CA 92080-3941   RAMONA BRANCH, 1428 MONTECTTO RD., RAMONA, CA 92085-5200   SOUTH COUNTY DIVISION, 500 3RD AVE., CHULA VISTA, CA 91810-5649	CLERK-SUPERIOR COURT SAN DIEGO COUNTY. CA
PLANTIFF(S) ROLAND NATOLI and BARBARA NATOLI	JUDGE William R. Nevitt, Jr.
DEFENDANT(S) TIFFIN MOTOR HOMES, INC., et al.	DEPT: <u>C-64</u>
AMENDMENT TO COMPLAINT (CCP 473, 474)	CASE NUMBER 37-2007-00082477-CU-MC-CTL
Under Section 474, Code of Civil Procedure: FICTITIOUS NAME (Court order required once case is at issue. San Diego Suplaintiff(s), being ignorant of the true name of a defendant when the complaint in designated said defendant in the complaint by the fictitious name of	·
DOE I	
and having discovered the true name of the said defendant to be	
FREIGHTLINER CUSTOM CHASSIS CORP.	•
amends the complaint by inserting such true name in place and stead of such complaint.  Date: March 12, 2008	fictious name wherever it appears in sale
DOUGLAS C. SOF	Attorney(s) for Plaintiff(s
Under Section 473, Code of Civil Procedure: NAME - Add or Correct (Court order required)	
Plaintiff(s), having designated a 🕜 defendant 🗌 plaintiff in the complaint b	y the name of
and having discovered  said name to be incorrect and the correct name is	defendant also uses the name of
amends the complaint by Substituting Adding such name(s) whereve	er the name of
appears in said complaint.	
Date:	
	Attorney(s) for Plaintiff(s
The above amendment to the complaint is allowed.	
Date:	
	Judge of the Superior Court

3

6

9

10 11

NATOLI.

inclusive,

Plaintiffs.

Defendants.

TIFFIN MOTOR HOMES, INC., a

Corporation, and DOES 1 through 100,

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27 Associates IIO Was C St

Suse 1300 Diego, CA 92101 28 (619) 237-7646

Law Offices

#### Sohn & Associates

Douglas C. Sohn State Bar No. 82920 110 West C Street, Suite 1300 San Diego, California 92101 (619) 237-7646 (858) 484-3365 Fax

Attorney for Plaintiffs

# SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

#### **COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO**

ROLAND NATOLI and BARBARA CASE NO: 37-2007-00082477-CU-MC-CTL

> Judge: William R. Nevitt, Jr. Dept. C-64

Complaint Filed: November 29, 2007

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR RESTITUTION AND DAMAGES (Song-Beverly)

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

### FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION SONG-BEVERLY ACT (All Defendants)

#### PLAINTIFFS ALLEGE:

- 1. Plaintiffs ROLAND NATOLI and BARBARA NATOLI (hereinaster "Plaintiffs") are, and at all times mentioned herein were, married individuals and buyers or lessees of a consumer good or new motor vehicle as defined in Civil Code Section 1790 et seq...
- 2. Defendant TIFFIN MOTOR HOMES, INC. (hereinafter "Defendant TIFFIN") is, and at all times mentioned herein was, a corporation qualified to do business in, and doing business in, the State of California, and a manufacturer or distributor of consumer goods as defined in Civil Code Section 1790 et seq..
  - 3. Plaintiffs are ignorant of the true names and capacities of Defendants sued herein as

10

П

12

15

16

14

17

18

20 21

22

25

24

 Does I through 100 and therefore sue said Defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiffs will seek leave of court to amend this Complaint to allege their true names and capacities when the same becomes known to them. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereupon allege that each of said fictitiously named Defendants is responsible in some manner for Plaintiffs' damages as herein alleged.

- 4. Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereupon allege that each of the defendants named herein was the agent or employee of each of the other defendants named herein and in doing those things hereinalleged was acting within the course and scope of said agency or employment.
- 5. On or about August 3, 2006, Plaintiffs purchased a 2006 Allegro Bay Recreational Vehicle, VIN 4UZACLBW26CX60514, manufactured or distributed by Defendant TIFFIN (hercinafter "the Vehicle") for which Defendant TIFFIN made one or more express warranties. The Vehicle was a consumer good or new motor vehicle, as defined in Civil Code 1790 et seq.. Attached hereto, for reference only, and marked "Exhibit A" is one of said express warranties.
- 6. Sometime after purchase, and within the warranty period, the Vehicle began to suffer from nonconformities which substantially impaired the use, value, or safety of the new motor vehicle to Plaintiffs. The nonconformities (hereinafter "the nonconformities") include, but are not limited to, the following: defective leveler jack, defective slideouts, defective engine, defective turbo hose clamp, defective DVD player, defective carpet, defective doors, defective vents, defective tow harness, defective wall paneling, defective radio, defective shower, defective windows, defective chassis charge air cooler.
- Each of the foregoing defects was covered by one or more express warranties made
   by Defendant TIFFIN, including, but not limited to, Exhibit A.
- 8. Within the past four years, Plaintiffs delivered the Vehicle to Defendant TIFFIN's service and repair facility within the County of San Diego, for service or repair of the nonconformities.
- 9. Despite a reasonable number of attempts, and despite more than 30 days out of service, Described TIFFIN's service and repair facility has been unable to service or repair the

2

5

0

10

9

11

\_

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

. 26

Law Offices
Sohn &
Associates
110 West C 5s
5uite 1300
San Diego, CA 92101
28
1619) 237-7646

Vehicle to conform to the express warranty or warranties given by Defendant TIFFIN.

10. As a result, Plaintiffs are entitled to replacement of the Vehicle, or restitution, in the following amounts: down payment of \$13,500, trade-in of \$4,900, payments in the total amount of \$18,107.04 as of December, 2007 and continuing at the rate of \$1,131.69 per month, 2008 registration in the amount of \$1,048.00 and continuing annually, and pay off of the loan on the Vehicle in the approximate amount of \$165,490.11. Plaintiffs will amend this complaint to allege said amounts more particularly when they become known to them, or according to proof at the time of trial.

11. As a further result, Plaintiffs have incurred incidental damages in an amount as yet unascertained. Plaintiffs will amend this complaint to allege said amount when it becomes known to them, or according to proof at the time of trial.

12. As a further result, Plaintiffs have incurred consequential damages in an amount as yet unascertained. Plaintiffs will amend this complaint to allege said amount when it becomes known to them, or according to proof at the time of trial.

13. Defendant TIFFIN willfully failed to promptly repurchase or replace the Vehicle when request was made therefor, and Plaintiffs are entitled thereby to a civil penalty in the amount of twice Plaintiffs' actual damages.

14. Plaintiffs have incurred attorney's fees and costs in bringing the within action pursuant to Civil Code Section 1790 et seq., in amount as yet unascertained. Plaintiffs will amend this complaint to allege said amount when it becomes known to them, or according to proof at the time of trial.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs pray judgment against Defendants, and each of them, as follows:

- 1. Replacement of the Vehicle or restitution;
- 2. Incidental damages according to proof;
- 3. Consequential damages according to proof;
- 4. Civil penalty;
- 5. Attorney's fees;

6. Costs o	f st	uit,
------------	------	------

- 7. Pre-judgment and post-judgment interest at the lawful rate; and,
- 8. Such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DATED: March 5, 2008

SOHN & ASSOCIATES

DOUGLAS C. SOHN Attorney for Plaintiffs

26
Law Offices
Solvin & 27
Associates 27
110 West C \$1
50th 1300
Sen Dispo, CA V2101
(619) 237-7646

#### PART I. **NEW VEHICLE BASIC WARRANTY**

- COVERAGE TO PURCHASER. This limited warranty (Limited Warranty) applies to the first purchaser (Purchaser) and does not apply to an owner other than the Purchaser. This Limited Warranty also does not apply to any rental or leased unit, or to any unit used in whole or in part for a commercial purpose.
- WARRANTY PERIOD AND REMEDIES. Tiffin Motorhomes, Inc. (Tiffin) warrants that the portions of the vehicle which Tiffin manufactures or assembles shall be free from defect (Defect) in material and workmanship for the period beginning on the date of purchase and continuing for one (1) year from the date of purchase, or twelve thousand (12,000) miles, whichever occurs first (the Warranty Period). The date of purchase shall be the date that the vehicle is delivered to you.

In the event of a covered Defect during the Warranty Period, Tiffin will repair the defect or, at its option, replace parts as necessary at no charge to Purchaser, except for the items which are specifically excluded below. These are Purchaser's sole and exclusive remedies. This Limited Warranty applies only to the covered portion of the vehicle and any of its parts supplied or manufactured by Tiffin, which are defective at the time of purchase or become defective during normal use during the Warranty Period. The Defect must occur under normal use of the vehicle during the Warranty Period.

This warranty does not apply to items and parts not manufactured or supplied by Tiffin, nor to items purchased, manufactured or installed outside the Tiffin's factory. Except as provided in this Warranty, the entire risk as to the quality and performance of the yehicle is with the Purchaser.

ITEMS COVERED. This warranty covers the steel or aluminum frame super structure including wall paneling, decor board, headliner, foam inculation, fiberglass aldn, rubber roof, and all other parts of the Hydra-Vac walls and roof. This warranty also covers the following list of equipment, some of which may also come with warranties from their respective manufacturers;

Air Conditioner (dash) Air Conditioner (roof) T.V. Antenna Converter (110-12V) Water Pump Fumace L.P. Gas Bottle Power Range Hood Pre-finished Paneling Range Refrigerator Sink Stereo Vacuum Cleaner Showerhead LP Gas Valves Interior and Exterior Toilet

Water Heater Carpet Cushlon Foam Compartment Doors Driver/Passenger Seats **Electrical Systems** Cushion Fabric Fire Extinguisher Faucets Light Fedures Plumbing System Switches Shower Door Vinyl Sealants

Windows

Fuses

Case 3:08-cv-00879-W<u>-</u>WMC

PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL

Filed 05/16/2008

3

5

6

2

I declare that I am employed in the County of San Diego, State of California, that I am over the age of eighteen years and not a party to the within action, and that my business address is 110 W. C Street, Suite 1300, San Diego, CA 92101.

7 8

9 10

11

12 13

deposit for mailing affidavit.

14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27 ssociale unte 1300 Depo. CA 92101 191 237-7646 28

I am readily familiar with the firm's practice of collection and processing of correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. Under that practice, it would, in the ordinary course of business, be deposited, with first class postage fully prepaid thereon, with the United States Postal Service that same day pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure Section 1013a. I am aware that on a motion of party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of

On March 5, 2008, I served the foregoing FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR RESTITUTION AND DAMAGES on the interested parties in this action by placing a true copy thereof, enclosed in a sealed envelope, addressed as follows, for collection and mailing on that date, following ordinary business practices:

Matthew M. Proudfout, Esq. GATES, O'DOHERTY, GONTER & GUY 15635 Alton Parkway, Suite 260 Irvine, CA 92618

I. declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 5th day of March, 2008, at San Diego, California.

TERYL L. SOHN

1 2	Richard C. Moreno (SBN 190869) Anastasia K. Mazzella (SBN 245201) MURCHISON & CUMMING, LLP	
3	801 South Grand Avenue, 9th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017-4613 Telephone: (213) 623-7400 Facsimile: (213) 623-6336	
5	E-Mail: rmoreno@murchisonlaw.com amazzella@murchisonlaw.com	
6	Attorneys for Defendant, FREIGHTLINER CUSTOM CHASSIS CORPORATION, sued and served herein as DOE 1	
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
9	COUNTY O	F SAN DIEGO
10		
11	ROLAND NATOLI and BARBARA NATOLI individuals,	CASE NO. 37-2007-00082477-CU-MC- CTL
12 13	Plaintiffs,	ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT ON BEHALF OF
14	vs.	FREIGHTLINER CUSTOM CHASSIS CORPORATION
15	TIFFIN MOTOR HOMES, INC., and DOES 1-100, inclusive,	Assigned to Hon. William R. Nevitt, Jr., Dept. C-64
16	Defendants.	Action Filed: November 29, 2007
17		Trial Date: None Set
18		
19	Defendant FREIGHTLINER CUSTON	I CHASSIS CORPORATION, for itself and itself
20	alone, in answer to plaintiffs' First Amended	I Complaint ("Complaint") on file herein, admits,
21	denies and alleges as follows:	

# **GENERAL DENIAL**

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1. By virtue of and pursuant to the provisions of Code of Civil Procedure section 431.30, this answering defendant generally and specifically denies each and every, all and singular, conjunctively and disjunctively, allegation contained in said Complaint, and each and every part thereof, and each and every cause of action thereof, and further specifically denies that plaintiff has been injured or damaged in the sum alleged, or in any other sum, or at all, by reason of any carelessness, negligence, act or omission of this answering defendant.

2

## 4

5

6 7

# 8

10 11

### 12

13 14

#### 15

16 17

18

19 20

# 2122

23

25

26

27

28

111

#### FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

2. As a first and separate affirmative defense to the complaint, this answering defendant alleges that each cause of action is barred by the applicable statute of limitations.

# SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

3. As a second and separate affirmative defense to the complaint, this answering defendant alleges that each of the causes of action fails to set forth facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action against this answering defendant.

# THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

4. As a third and separate affirmative defense to the complaint, this answering defendant alleges that plaintiffs are barred from proceeding with said causes of action for failure to provide the requisite notice to defendant regarding their warranty claims.

### FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

5. As a fourth and separate affirmative defense to the complaint, this answering defendant alleges that plaintiffs lack standing to sue and are not in privity with defendant.

# FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

6. As a fifth and separate affirmative defense to the complaint, this answering defendant alleges that plaintiffs and/or their agents acted negligently, recklessly, or intentionally in and about the matters alleged herein and to the extent that plaintiffs seek recovery for the alleged negligence, reckless and/or intentional acts and/or omissions of this answering defendant, recovery should be offset to the extent of plaintiffs' own negligent, reckless, and/or intentional actions and/or omissions.

# SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

7. As a sixth and separate affirmative defense to the complaint, this answering defendant alleges that plaintiffs' damages, if any, were proximately caused by the negligent, reckless, or intentional acts of third parties as to whom this answering defendant had neither the right nor the duty nor the opportunity to exercise control and who acted without the knowledge, participation, approval, or ratification of this answering defendant.

# 

### 

# 

### 

#### 

# 

# 

# SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

8. As a seventh and separate affirmative defense to the complaint, this answering defendant alleges that plaintiffs are guilty of unreasonable delay in filing suit herein, which delay has caused prejudice to this answering defendant and that, therefore, this action is barred by laches.

### EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

9. As an eighth and separate affirmative defense to the complaint, this answering defendant alleges that plaintiffs are barred from asserting each and every purported cause of action in the complaint by reason of plaintiffs and their agents having ratified, consented, and approved the alleged wrongful acts and/or omissions of this answering defendant.

### NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

10. As a ninth and separate affirmative defense to the complaint, this answering defendant alleges that plaintiffs are equitably estopped from asserting each and every purported cause of action in the complaint by reason of the acts, the omissions, and conduct of plaintiffs and/or certain of their agents upon which this answering defendant relied to its prejudice and detriment.

# TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

11. As a tenth and separate affirmative defense to the complaint, this answering defendant alleges that plaintiffs are barred from recovering on each and every purported cause of action by reason of waiver.

# **ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

12. As an eleventh and separate affirmative defense to the complaint, this answering defendant alleges plaintiffs are barred from recovering on each and every purported cause of action by virtue of their unclean hands.

## TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

13. As a twelfth and separate affirmative defense to the complaint, this answering defendant alleges that all of plaintiffs' causes of action are barred because plaintiffs and/or their

3

6 7

8

11

10

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

agents had the opportunity to and did fully inspect the product in question and approved of the condition of the product.

# THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

14. As a thirteenth and separate affirmative defense to the complaint, this answering defendant alleges that plaintiffs could have, by the exercise of reasonable diligence, limited or prevented their damages, if any, as a result of the alleged wrongful acts set forth in the complaint and that they have failed or refused to do so. Such failure or refusal on the part of plaintiffs constitute a failure to mitigate their damages.

# FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

15. As a fourteenth and separate affirmative defense to the complaint, this answering defendant alleges that plaintiffs were, at all times, fully apprised of all material facts regarding each and every act alleged in the complaint and that plaintiffs acted or refrained from acting with the full knowledge of all circumstances.

# FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

16. As a fifteenth and separate affirmative defense to the complaint, this answering defendant alleges that all of the work allegedly performed by defendant was performed in a workmanlike manner, which was performed in accordance with all applicable codes, ordinances, and regulations, and was approved by all applicable municipal agencies.

# SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

17. As a sixteenth and separate affirmative defense, this answering defendant alleges that the damages allegedly sustained by plaintiffs were the proximate result of plaintiffs' or others' misuse of the product or subsequent modification, repair and/or maintenance of the product involved.

# SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

18. As a seventeenth and separate affirmative defense, this answering defendant alleges that all events, happenings, and damages, if any, as set forth in the complaint were the result of an unforeseeable Act of God.

Щ

<u>EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>

19. As an eighteenth and separate affirmative defense, this answering defendant alleges that defendant's product was duly inspected, approved and accepted by the plaintiffs, their agents and duly authorized representatives, payment(s) was/were made pursuant to the terms and conditions of any contracts between said persons and this defendant.

## NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

20. As a nineteenth and separate affirmative defense, this answering defendant alleges that the losses and damages allegedly sustained by plaintiffs, if any, were proximately caused by the intervening and superseding acts of others, which intervening and superseding acts bar and/or diminish plaintiffs' recovery, if any, against this answering defendant.

# TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

21. As a twentieth and separate affirmative defense, this answering defendant alleges that, prior to and at the time of the sale herein, there was attached to the goods a conspicuous writing which clearly informed the buyer in simple and concise language that the goods were being sold pursuant to a limited warranty which is limited to repair and replacement of conditions and/or components covered under the warranty; that the entire risk as to the quality and performance of the goods was with the buyer; and that should the goods prove defective following their purchase, the buyer and not the manufacturer, distributor or retailer assumed the entire cost of all necessary servicing or repair. As a result, defendant effectively disclaimed any implied warranties, including, but not limited to, recovery of consequential damages, the implied warranty of merchantability and implied warranty of fitness for a particular purpose, and by that sale plaintiff effectively waived any such warranty.

# TWENTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

22. As a twenty-first and separate affirmative defense, this answering defendant alleges that any defect or nonconformity of which the plaintiffs complain in this matter was proximately caused by the unauthorized or unreasonable use of the goods by the plaintiffs following sale and delivery thereof by defendant to plaintiffs.

2 3

# 5

6

7

9

10 11

12

13

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

# TWENTY-SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a twenty-second and separate affirmative defense, this answering defendant 23. alleges that the component parts which are alleged to have been defective were not expressly warranted by this responding party. Therefore, plaintiffs are barred from seeking express warranty damages against this responding party.

# TWENTY-THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a twenty-third and separate affirmative defense, this answering defendant 24. alleges that plaintiffs' cause of action for breach of express warranty and incidental and consequential damages is barred by the express disclaimers and limitations of liability contained in the alleged express warranties made by this defendant.

# TWENTY-FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a twenty-fourth and separate affirmative defense, this answering defendant 25. alleges that because of plaintiffs' continued use of the vehicle, plaintiffs have waived their right to seek restitution or rescission-type damages against defendant as a matter of law.

# TWENTY-FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a twenty-fifth and separate affirmative defense, this answering defendant 26. alleges that plaintiffs have failed to provide defendant with a reasonable opportunity to repair the vehicle, thereby waiving any claim for damages.

DATED: May 16, 2008

MURCHISON & CUMMING, LLP

Richard C. Moreno Anastasia K. Mazzella

Attorneys for Defendant, FREIGHTLINER CUSTOM CHASSIS CORPORATION, sued

and served herein as DOE 1

J:\RCM\28430\PLD\ANS-FAC-051608.AKM

#### PROOF OF SERVICE

### 

# STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. My business address is 801 South Grand Avenue, 9th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90017-4613.

On May 10, 2008, I served true copies of the following document(s) described as ANSWER TO COMPLAINT ON BEHALF OF FREIGHTLINER CUSTOM CHASSIS CORPORATION on the interested parties in this action as follows:

#### SEE ATTACHED LIST

BY MAIL: I enclosed the document(s) in a sealed envelope or package addressed to the persons at the addresses listed in the Service List and placed the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with Murchison & Cumming's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that the correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on May 1/2, 2008, at Los Angeles, California.

•

# SERVICE LIST Natoli, et al., vs. Tiffin Motor Homes, Inc., etc., et al.

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

ON REHALE OF ERFIGHTLINER CLISTOM CHASSIS CORPORATION

Douglas C. Sohn, Esq. Sohn & Associates 110 West C Street, Suite 1300

San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619-237-7646 Facsimile: 858-484-3365

### PROOF OF SERVICE

# STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. My business address is 801 South Grand Avenue, 9th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90017-4613.

On May 16, 2008, I served true copies of the following document(s) described as NOTICE TO UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CLERK OF REMOVAL OF ACTION; DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL on the interested parties in this action as follows:

#### SEE ATTACHED LIST

BY MAIL: I enclosed the document(s) in a sealed envelope or package addressed to the persons at the addresses listed in the Service List and placed the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with Murchison & Cumming's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that the correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct and that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.

Executed on May 16, 2008, at Los Angeles, California.

Marian R. Wahlquist

SERVICE LIST Natoli, et al., vs. Tiffin Motor Homes, Inc., etc., et al.

Douglas C. Sohn, Esq. Sohn & Associates 110 West C Street, Suite 1300 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619-237-7646 Facsimile: 858-484-3365

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

# PROOF OF SERVICE

# STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. My business address is 801 South Grand Avenue, 9th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90017-4613.

On May // 2008, I served true copies of the following document(s) described as NOTICE TO ADVERSE PARTY RE REMOVAL OF ACTION TO UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT on the interested parties in this action as follows:

#### **SEE ATTACHED LIST**

BY MAIL: I enclosed the document(s) in a sealed envelope or package addressed to the persons at the addresses listed in the Service List and placed the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with Murchison & Cumming's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that the correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on May / 2008, at Los Angeles, California.

Marian R. Wahlquist

# SERVICE LIST Natoli, et al., vs. Tiffin Motor Homes, Inc., etc., et al.

Douglas C. Sohn, Esq. Sohn & Associates 110 West C Street, Suite 1300 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619-237-7646 Facsimile: 858-484-3365

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

### **PROOF OF SERVICE**

# STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a party to this action. I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. My business address is 801 South Grand Avenue, 9th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90017-4613.

On May 2008, I served true copies of the following document(s) described as CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE OF NOTICE TO ADVERSE PARTY AND NOTICE TO STATE SUPERIOR COURT OF REMOVAL TO FEDERAL COURT on the interested parties in this action as follows:

#### SEE ATTACHED LIST

BY MAIL: I enclosed the document(s) in a sealed envelope or package addressed to the persons at the addresses listed in the Service List and placed the envelope for collection and mailing, following our ordinary business practices. I am readily familiar with Murchison & Cumming's practice for collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. On the same day that the correspondence is placed for collection and mailing, it is deposited in the ordinary course of business with the United States Postal Service, in a sealed envelope with postage fully prepaid.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct and that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.

Executed on May 16 2008, at Los Angeles, California.

Marian R. Wahlquist

SERVICE LIST Natoli, et al., vs. Tiffin Motor Homes, Inc., etc., et al.

Douglas C. Sohn, Esq. Sohn & Associates 110 West C Street, Suite 1300 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619-237-7646 Facsimile: 858-484-3365

Attorneys for Plaintiffs